

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
6 AT SEATTLE

7
8 In re JUNO THERAPEUTICS, INC.
9
10

Case No. C16-1069 RSM

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE
RESPONSE

11
12 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Response to
13 Defendants' Notice of Supplemental Authority. Dkt. #70. The Court agrees with Defendants
14 that their Notice of Supplemental Authority (Dkt. #68), complied with Local Rule 7(n) by not
15 containing argument, and that therefore "there is nothing to which Plaintiffs need to respond."
16 Dkt. #71 at 2. Any responsive argument would constitute an improper surreply. The Court
17 further finds that it need not adjust the noting date of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and that it
18 can request supplemental briefing from the parties, if necessary, at a future date.
19

20 Having reviewed the relevant briefing and the remainder of the record, the Court hereby
21 finds and ORDERS that Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Response to Defendants' Notice of
22 Supplemental Authority (Dkt. #70) is DENIED.
23

24 DATED this 18th day of May 2017.

25
26 

27 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
28 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE